

Public Comment Regarding Cannabis Ordinance  
and Program Update

Received February 2022

**From:** [Dick and Vi Strain](#)  
**To:** [David Rabbitt](#); [Lynda Hopkins](#); [Susan Gorin](#); [Chris Coursey](#); [James Gore](#); [Tennis Wick](#); [Andrew Smith](#); [Cannabis; Scott Orr](#); [Crystal Acker](#); [Jennifer Klein](#); [Daniella Reagan](#)  
**Cc:** [Concerned Citizens](#); [Nancy and Brantly Richardson](#)  
**Subject:** Bloomfield Ministerial Cannabis Permits - Parcel #027-020-010, APC21-0056 and Parcel #027-020-009, APC21-0059  
**Date:** Thursday, February 3, 2022 8:09:49 AM

---

The community of Bloomfield has sent a letter dated January 31, 2022 to the above County officials regarding a proposed cannabis operation adjacent to the community. Community members are also sending individual letters with comments to the impact of this proposal on our properties and the town in general. My first comment is in regards to CEQA compliance of the applications.

1. The site plans for the two cannabis operations of 10,000 sf each show a fenced area that is far greater than required for a 10,000 sf grow. The fenced area proposed is conveniently large enough to encompass three additional 10,000 sq ft. grows on each of the two parcels that would equal 40,000 sf in total. Maps are attached that show this potential. I've used a dotted line to show the additional grows that are planned to be added.

The CEQA Guidelines define a project under CEQA as "the whole of the action" that may result either directly or indirectly in physical changes to the environment. This broad definition is intended to provide the maximum protection of the environment. Piecemealing or segmenting means dividing a project into two or more pieces and evaluating each piece in a separate environmental document, rather than evaluating the whole of the project in one environmental document. This is explicitly forbidden by CEQA because dividing a project into a number of pieces would allow a Lead Agency to minimize the apparent environmental impacts of a project by evaluating individual pieces separately each of which may have a less-than-significant impact on the environment, but which together may result in a significant impact. Segmenting a project may also hinder developing comprehensive mitigation strategies. (AEP CEQA Portal Topic Paper 0210-20)

The County has already had to implement a Moratorium to resolve ministerial permitting of 10,000 sf grows stacked by the same applicants to try and resolve this issue. The applicants on the above referenced applications were also part of that process and had applied for Ministerial Permits with different names but still the same Company. The County Ag Department has required withdrawal of the stacked permits but has accepted the above two applications on separate parcels for Ministerial Permitting. The applications still do not comply with CEQA as it is obvious the infrastructure for the property is to serve the 80,000 sq ft the applicants ultimately want to place on the property.

These ministerial permits must be denied and a Conditional Use Permit required so

the proposed project meets the requirement of CEQA for review of the total proposal and the issues can be properly studied and impacts mitigated.

2. Under the County Health and Safety code there is latitude to grant greater setbacks and/or make other accommodations based on the location of incompatible uses in proximity to each other. The proposed cannabis operation is adjacent to the backyards of twelve homes and the commercial traffic of this operation is proposed directly adjacent and behind these residential back yards. The cannabis processing on the site is also adjacent to these residential back yards. All of these operations have impacts requiring setbacks from cannabis operations that must be greater than the 100 feet from property lines and 300 ft from homes. Odor, noise, safety from crime and fire, and traffic gridlock on substandard streets are just a few of the most concerning impacts that need addressing and careful resolution.

The adjacent property owner is erecting a solid metal fence along the shared property line that is at least six feet high. Currently the back yards are fenced with the typical barb wire fencing in the Dairy Belt that allows extensive views of the hills and cemetery. It is understood this is probably the security fencing required for a cannabis operation but it is a visual blight to adjoining property owners who share the property line. It is a solid blockade adjacent to intimate backyard settings of the residential properties adjacent to this abomination. Also, there are currently no approved cannabis applications on the site that would require such fencing.

The applicants are from San Francisco and so do not live locally. It is unknown who will be responsible for the site and who will oversee the many conflicts that will arise between such incompatible uses. There will be employees and temporary workers spooling in and about Bloomfield who have no relationship to the community. A commercial cannabis operation adjacent to a residential community is not compatible use and is against the provisions of good land use planning.

These ministerial permits should be denied and a Conditional Use Permit required so these issues can be properly studied and impacts mitigated.

3. The town of Bloomfield requests to be designated a commercial Cannabis-Cultivation Exclusion Zone as per Sonoma County Cannabis Code Sec. 26-88-250 Commercial Cannabis uses; Sec. 2688-250 (f) Health and Safety.

*\*Commercial cannabis activity shall not create a public nuisance or adversely affect the health or safety of the nearby residents or businesses by creating dust, light, glare, heat, noise, noxious gasses, odor smoke, traffic, vibration, unsafe conditions or other impacts, or be hazardous due to the use or storage of material, processes, products, runoff or wastes.*

The letter referenced in the opening paragraph of January 31, 2022 from the ccobloomfield community contains criteria supporting the establishment of a Cannabis-Cultivation Exclusion, as well as this letter and the subsequent individual letters from the Bloomfield community.

Thank you for your attention and consideration of my comments.

Vi Strain

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

**Warning:** If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,  
**do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

**From:** [Dick and Vi Strain](#)  
**To:** [David Rabbitt](#); [Lynda Hopkins](#); [Susan Gorin](#); [Chris Coursey](#); [James Gore](#); [Tennis Wick](#); [Andrew Smith](#); [Cannabis](#); [Scott Orr](#); [Crystal Acker](#); [Jennifer Klein](#); [Daniella Reagan](#)  
**Subject:** Bloomfield Ministerial Cannabis Permits - Maps showing CEQA piecemealing on Parcel #027-020-010, APC21-0056 and Parcel #027-020-009, APC21-0059,  
**Date:** Thursday, February 3, 2022 8:47:48 AM  
**Attachments:** [Property Diagram-6410 Cockrill.pdf](#)  
[Property Diagram-6505 Cockrill.pdf](#)

---

**EXTERNAL**

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.  
**Warning:** If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,  
**do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.





**From:** [concerned citizens](#)  
**To:** [Andrew Smith](#); [Daniella Reagan](#); [Chris Coursey](#); [Susan Gorin](#); [Lynda Hopkins](#); [James Gore](#)  
**Cc:** [David Rabbitt](#); [Tennis Wick](#); [Scott Orr](#); [Crystal Acker](#); [Cannabis](#); [Robert Pittman](#)  
**Subject:** Thank you Andrew and Please Support Withdrawal of Ministerial applications in Bloomfield  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:06:07 AM

---

To: Sonoma County  
Supervisor David Rabbitt  
Supervisor Lynda Hopkins  
Supervisor Susan Gorin  
Supervisor Chris Coursey  
Supervisor James Gore  
PRMD Director Tennis Wick  
Dept. of Agriculture Commissioner Andrew Smith  
County Counsel Robert Pittman

Good Morning,

Concerned citizens of Bloomfield would like to thank Andrew Smith for recognizing his departments mistake in granting cannabis permits on the Roblar Rd. Neve Brother's property within under 1000 ft from Olympia House drug rehabilitation facility and for revoking those multi-tenant ministerial permits.

There have been significant problems within the practice of ministerial cannabis permitting in Sonoma County. While there are locations in this county on agricultural lands that are entirely appropriate for ministerial permitting there are also lands that are not entirely appropriate for ministerial permitting of commercial cannabis cultivation. The ordinance has failed to make this clear.

We in Bloomfield have first hand visceral experiences of how this ministerial process has impacted our community over the last year and a half. Something to consider that will ease much tension around the county is to offer a minimum 1000 ft setback buffer zone between RR and commercial cannabis cultivation.

Please work with our district supervisor David Rabbitt to make sure that the ministerial cannabis permit applications (Parcel #027-020-010, APC21-0056 and Parcel #027-020-009, APC21-0059) at 6405-10 Cockrill St. in Bloomfield are not granted.

Here are a couple of compelling reasons for not allowing ministerial permit approval at this site: These applicants have shown their intention for an acre on each parcel in two previous applications and have submitted conflicting biotic reports as well as a site map indicating their true intent of 40,000 sq. Ft on each parcel. If there is discretion being exercised which there clearly is, the Ag. Department staff is choosing between conflicting biotic reports and the intended scope, this site involves a permit of a higher level.

If these applications were to be granted for 10,000. Sq ft through a ministerial process and then the applicants turn around tomorrow and apply for CUP for an acre on each parcel that would be piecemealing CEQA? A Conditional Use Permit is the appropriate type of permit



from the start for a location with conflicting biotic reports and where the true intended scope the applicants seek has been made clear in previous applications and even shows (80,000 sq ft.) on the current site map. There is considerable immediate neighbor contact and multiple impacts to existing sensitive receptors (park, historic cemetery, home child care center) and to the upland grassland ecosystem which contains red legged frog habitat and American Badger burrows at this site.

The CEQA Guidelines define a project under CEQA as "the whole of the action" that may result either directly or indirectly in physical changes to the environment. This broad definition is intended to provide the maximum protection of the environment. Piecemealing or segmenting means dividing a project into two or more pieces and evaluating each piece in a separate environmental document, rather than evaluating the whole of the project in one environmental document. This is explicitly forbidden by CEQA because dividing a project into a number of pieces would allow a Lead Agency to minimize the apparent environmental impacts of a project by evaluating individual pieces separately each of which may have a less-than-significant impact on the environment, but which together may result in a significant impact. Segmenting a project may also hinder developing comprehensive mitigation strategies. (AEP CEQA Portal Topic Paper 0210-20)

Under the County Health and Safety code there is latitude to grant greater setbacks and/or make other accommodations based on the location of incompatible uses in proximity to each other. The proposed cannabis operation is adjacent to the backyards of twelve homes and the commercial traffic of this operation is proposed directly adjacent and behind these residential back yards on unpaved roads with no county oversight unless neighbors complain. The cannabis processing on the site is also adjacent to these residential back yards. All of these operations have impacts requiring setbacks of a minimum for 1000 ft from cannabis operations not the 100 feet from property lines and 300 ft from homes under the current ordinance. Odor, noise, safety from crime and fire, and traffic gridlock on substandard streets are just a few of the most concerning impacts that need addressing and careful resolution that we seek with a Conditional Use Permit.

Bloomfield asks that the Ag. Department and the BOS please work with our district Supervisor David Rabbitt and recommend that these permit applications be withdrawn because they do not meet the requirements for a declaration of no impacts. In fact there are multiple very negative impacts to residents and businesses in Sonoma County. Alternatively, the Ag Department must deny the applications as discretion is required both for the conflicting biotic reports as well as in determining appropriate setbacks that uphold the Health and Safety clause. Discretion is not allowed for ministerial applications.

Thank you

Veva Edelson for CCOBloomfield

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.  
**Warning:** If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,  
**do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

**From:** [concerned citizens](#)  
**To:** [David Rabbitt](#); [Andrew Smith](#); [Susan Gorin](#); [Chris Coursey](#); [Lynda Hopkins](#); [James Gore](#); [Tennis Wick](#); [Cannabis Robert Pittman](#)  
**Subject:** The Petaluma Dairy Belt Plan- Bloomfield Historic Cemetery  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 1:50:21 PM  
**Attachments:** [pastedGraphic.png](#)

---

February 9, 2022

To: Sonoma County Board of Supervisors; PRMD Director Tennis Wick; Agriculture Commissioner Andrew Smith; County Counsel Robert Pittman;  
[Cannabis@sonoma-county.org](mailto:Cannabis@sonoma-county.org)

The Petaluma Dairy Belt Area Plan is a Sonoma County planning document. It was adopted in 1985 and last updated in 2008.

The town of Bloomfield is in the Petaluma Dairy Belt Area and is one of the oldest towns in Sonoma County, it was established in 1856. It is a community of families surrounded by dairies, horse ranches, sheep ranches, cattle ranches, vineyards and farms growing food.

The Bloomfield Park is owned by our local non-profit, the Bloomfield Community Club; and is maintained by the Bloomfield Community Club and volunteers from town. The Park has been used in the past for fundraising activities by both the Bloomfield Community Club and the Bloomfield Volunteer Fire Department. It has also been used by many other residents of the County, as it is open to the public.

The Bloomfield Cemetery is the resting place of some of the first families in Sonoma County. For the past twenty-two years, two men who grew up in Bloomfield have lovingly maintained the cemetery. Prior to this, cemetery clean up days were organized and volunteers cut the grass, removed blackberries, pulled weeds, and restored some of the grave markers. The Cemetery is a place of serene beauty where you can go to relax, enjoy a walk, enjoy the beautiful vistas, contemplate the history of the County, and pay your respects to friends and family who have died and have grave markers here. Conserving the character of this historic Cemetery is necessary to "contribute to the scenic and rural character of the area and should be preserved".

In Appendix "D" of the Petaluma Dairy Belt Area Plan, four historic sites are located within the town of Bloomfield: the Masonic Lodge, the I.O.O.F. Lodge Hall, Bloomfield Cemetery, and Bloomfield School; and one is adjacent to the town: the Stump Ranch.

The excerpts below are taken from the Petaluma Dairy Belt Area Plan and show why the Bloomfield Park should be treated as a Park by the Cannabis Ordinance with the required sensitive receptor setbacks; and why the Bloomfield Cemetery should be protected as an historic site.

I believe that any ministerial cannabis cultivation permit applications in Bloomfield should be denied. The applicant(s) should be advised that if they wish to reapply, they can submit a CUP through PRMD, so that the impacts on these invaluable resources can be properly evaluated.

Thank you for your service.  
Sincerely,  
Virginia Hair for CCOBloomfield

From the "Petaluma Dairy Belt Area Plan" document:

(Pg 6) The Petaluma Dairy Belt Area Plan reflects these priorities:

The primary priority shall be to preserve and enhance the agricultural resources and protect the agricultural industry found in this study area;

Preserve the area's scenic beauty;

Accommodate a variety of rural life styles;

Encourage the development of an adequate transportation network which will accommodate proposed development and projected travel needs, and which will facilitate movement of agricultural products to the market place.

(Pg. 9, 10, 14, 39) Archaeological Sites and Historic Resources

Require a rezoning to the HD (Historic District) zoning district on all discretionary actions, zone changes, subdivisions, use permits, public projects, etc., which involve properties listed as eligible for landmark status in the Appendix "D".

Goal

It shall be the goal of this Area Plan to preserve significant archaeological and historic sites.

Policies

Preserve adequate open space around historic settlements and buildings, areas of archaeological significance, and other features important to the human history of the County, so that the natural settings of such areas are retained.

There are many structures and sites of historic significance in the Dairy Belt area that should be considered for Historic District Zoning. These historic structures and sites contribute to the scenic and rural character of the area and should be preserved.

(Pg. 19, 20) Scenic Resources

Goal

It shall be the goal of this Area Plan to protect and maintain the area's diverse scenic resources.

Policies

Protect the visual quality of unique scenic resources.

Protect and maintain scenic areas essential for defining community separation and community form.

Protect visually vulnerable landscapes, such as ridgelines.

Maintain scenic resources as an attraction for tourism and recreation.

(Pg. 14) Recreation Facilities

Goal

It shall be the goal of this Area Plan to provide adequate recreation facilities for both present and future populations.

## Policies

Encourage the establishment and expansion of privately owned and operated recreation facilities to complement publicly owned parks and recreation facilities.

(Pg. 37) Parks

A 2.7 acre community park is located in the town of Bloomfield. It is privately owned and operated by the Bloomfield Community Club, a non-profit organization.

Appendix "P"

~~CONFIDENTIAL/PERSONAL DATA LIST~~

1) Barkfield Beach 2350 Pelican Blvd. South Pelican APN 19-025-05	2) Barkfield Beach Barkfield Road Pelican APN 19-025-01
3) Watson Beach 18100 Sedge Way Sage APN 25-136-15	4) Sluap Beach 1220 Sedge Way Bloomfield APN 27-025-04
5) Sweeney Beach Highway 101 Pelican APN	6) Sedge Beach 3000 Spring Hill Road Pelican APN 27-050-01/02
7) Fremont Parker Beach Highway 101 Pelican APN	8) Pepper Park Pepper Road (1/2 mi. west of) Pelican ( S.S. Ave.) APN
9) Sedge School 3300 Sedge Road APN 24-020-05	10) Watson School Sage Highway APN 25-136-01
11) Merritt Lodge Bloomfield Bl. & Dilligan Dr. Bloomfield APN 27-100-05	12) U.S.S.F. Lodge Hall Bloomfield Bl. & Dilligan Dr. Bloomfield APN 27-100-05
13) Bloomfield Cemetery Sage Street Bloomfield APN 27-100-01	14) Bloomfield School 8801 Sage Street Bloomfield APN 27-100-01
15) The Oaks Orange Hill 7000 Sedge Avenue The Oaks APN 25-136-05	16) Presbyterian Church Cemetery 7000 Sedge Avenue The Oaks APN 25-136-05

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

**Warning:** If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, **do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

**From:** [Hank Ford](#)  
**To:** [Cannabis](#)  
**Subject:** Boomer Dystopia  
**Date:** Saturday, February 19, 2022 12:11:39 AM

---

EXTERNAL

Sonoma County,

Free Jamie Ballacino.

Shout out to Lenny Kravitz and Boomers like Marshall Behling who where spandex biker pants inappropriately. These narcissist boomers along with the loose and wild grey pony tail hateful flower children “ladies” have had their chance to be in charge of the county and world. Nice job loose grandma. Good job bully cuck gramps. Some wonderful job your generation has done for the world. Only these charmed boomers can afford houses. Only they have retirement accounts that have been propped up by the federal money press for decades at the expense of the next generations. Hey spoiled boomers, stop bullying the rest of us after you stole our futures. When will you all sit back and let the next generations take the lead. Guys like Lenny Kravitz and Marshall “stretch pants” behling should give it a rest and the county should stop empowering these entitled and privileged spoiled boomer generation.

There is only one way to defeat a lying bully.

Marshall and others have obviously been rallying vulnerable elderly neighbors to hate their cannabis neighbors and cause division in our on e harmonious neighborhoods. These guys are bullies. The old folks don’t know how to use email and they have forwarded the reposes to their coaching from guys like “stretch pants” Behring and others. Guys like Behring like to bully their neighbors with lies and crap from their computer because if they attempted to bully physically they would it fair well to say the least. Guys like him are not honorable. They are little dramatic babies. They get their power to bully from the county and this uneven process that gives these jerks a soap box to stand on. Guys like creepy “stretch pants” behling love this stuff. What a loser!

The cannabis opposition is invariably WHITE BOOMER busybodies like little boy Marshall and his spoiled group of silver hair bicycle weirdos in stretch pants. Come on gramps! No one wants to see you in stretch pants you sicko.

It is really unfortunate how dishonest the cannabis opposition is.

There are only less than 50 vocal and threatening anti-cannabis people who have been discriminating against their neighbors and threatening the county for far too long.

There is no way to satisfy these jerks. It has nothing to do with any smell. It has only to do with the county giving this kind of perceived power to hateful and spiteful pathetic jerks.

When will the county figure out they are getting bullied by a group of 50 vocal jerks who hate and lie?

These are the same “concerned neighbors” who will threaten and intimidate Mexican immigrants when they are only hard working painters. These racist haters are the same people who are on these public record emails making up exaggerations and using scare tactic LIES.

My painter Lizardo came to me one day and said that a woman from my neighborhood was threatening and intimidating him when he was parking to come to work on my house. It was sad to talk with him about this topic. Neighbors like this are active in these public comments LYING about everything they can in order to bully me and my family.

We have NEVER even met this LYING old lady in our lives. NOT ONCE.

The Gutzmans are sloppy and smelly jerks who moved into my neighborhood a couple years ago. The are a lot like the neighbors from the Bloomfeild area. They just feel entitled to tell people what to do. Their property has donkeys and sloppy temporary crops that never seem to grow very well. Maybe they are so mean-spirited because they suck at growing plants. Maybe the girl’s horses are bummed they have to carry that heffer. I don’t know. But in any case we never did anything to bother these manipulative liars. We have never met them. Their lies keep getting progressively more ridiculous. Next comment Kim Gutzman sends will probably be more fiction that her cannabis neighbor called her an ugly old lady and drove a tank through her crappy horse stable and shot flamethrowers into her failed cornfield. Then she will continue her lies with no accountability further and further.

We have lived in this neighborhood for a long time. She just moved here.  
We have NEVER even met or talked to this wacky old lady in our lives. NOT ONCE.  
But she continues to lie on the public record about her cannabis neighbor who she names and  
Hank Ford  
Cannabis  
DISHONEST DISCUSSION  
Saturday, October 23, 2021 3:05:07 PM

defames.

When will the county figure out that this is who these people are????!!!!

These jerks know that if a 1000 foot setback is adopted that there will be zero eligible parcels and every small independent farmer will lose their livelihood. That is why they talk about 1000 feet. If the setback was already 1000 they would go for 3000. They will never be satisfied. 300 feet to a permitted residential structure is already too far. The smell is no worse (I think way better) than the crap all over the place on my fat lying spoiled neighbor's property.

300 feet setback is too far already. Don't try to appease these HATEFUL JERKS. Everyone should plant hemp next to neighbors like these.

I will tell you that the bully Gutzman lady is a LIAR.

I don't have to LIE to get my point across.

Maybe someday the county will stand up to these kind of people who typify the 50 loud and angry old people who have been given the power to destroy so many hard-working young family cannabis farmers and make them live like they are in HELL in SONOMA COUNTY.

It is SICK what the county is allowing to be done to honest good cannabis people.

Chapter 38 was passed by planning and it was a step in the right direction after years of work by stakeholders. After that intense effort and approved compromise was PASSED for APPROVAL by the planning commission with 3 extended public meetings and comments the BOS threw it out like it was worthless. Now the cannabis families are having the hardest year in the industry to stay alive at their farms while the hateful 50 jerks dominate the discussion about 1000 setbacks, smell, water, roads, or any other double-standards that they can propose.

When will someone stand up for the cannabis farmers? Why do we get treated like this?

Is it so the politicians save face for the 50 threatening and manipulating racist fat LIARS?

Is it so that county counsel can ensure no lawsuits by 50 threatening and often racist LIARS?

From the top players down to the trimmers and support staff the cannabis industry is being damaged so bad by this whole mess. We have a tough industry and difficult challenge to success without this extra garbage going on forever. That's why anyone paying attention will see that all the cannabis supporters and operators have dropped out of the new ordinance "crafting" process. The spiteful 50 jerks including pothole lawyers are having the time of their lives applying this torment.

Look online and you will see that some of these washed up old lawyers are pothole lawyers who sue the county when old folks cant ride a bike on rural roads without falling in a pothole. Ambulance

chasers turned cannabis haters.

Look into this topic and you will see crowd-funded legal funds that get a lot of money for hacks like these pothole lawyers to threaten the county and use intolerance and discrimination.

I have gotten direct emails from some of these types who disagree with my PUBLIC comments. They really like to bully people. Stop emailing me Grandma. Just because your family wont talk to you anymore doesn't mean I care to speak privately with you. You suck. No one loves you for good reason. Don't blame me for your disgusting background and "mistakes from the sixties". Its gross and I feel dirty thinking about it. Why do you feel the need to be so vulgar? STOP EMAILING ME. You are NOT my friend you wacko.

The county has "entitled" this "privliedged" group of 50 jerks and anyone they can initiate to be the most hateful and spiteful group seen in this county.

If the county hosted a "visioning session" and had a discussion about finally solving the problem with cannabis in the county and it was seriously proposed that the county should consider lynching or gassing cannabis operators, a lot of the cannabis opposition would be in support. Give them a page of the next powerpoint presentation and let them believe it is serious.

These cannabis haters are haters in general and if they aren't picking on cannabis operators they will be racists towards Mexicans, intolerant to lifestyles and identities, and other mean-spirited things.

The county has made MONSTERS out of the 50 LIARS and washed up lawyers. The cannabis family farms are left to defend themselves for years of aggressive manipulations, defamation, intimidation, racism, etc.

It is time for the county to push back against the bullies or the county is the one to blame for it all. Please take a look at the fine work done in CHAPTER 38. That is the last time the cannabis community was engaged in this process. Since then the 50 jerks have threatened the county enough. Push them BACK and stand behind your own PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL that recommended CHAPTER 38 for approval. PRMD and staff has ZERO bandwidth for any CUPs for cannabis farming. The CUP process is tragically BROKEN. How can anyone believe a local family heritage farmer could withstand 5 years and \$100k+ of expense on top of the lease or mortgage? How can the county politicians and policy makers get to say that they want to protect the family farmers and then let this all happen for years on end? When politicians say that they should be held accountable when their actions and inaction has empowered the most divisive 5 years in this county in decades at the expense of the small local farmers. All of a sudden our worst hateful grandparents who we try to tolerate are now the ones who are making the policy and threatening our elected leaders to apply double standards that will damage their younger neighbors. When will the county stick up for the local cannabis farmers before there

are none left? Why do you give so much power to the mean and racist grandparents who never adapted and are stuck in their hateful ways against others who do not think or live like them?

Maybe we should allow these mean grandparents to decide how we treat gay marriage. How about we let these 50 mean old folks tell us how many genders there are. Let's ask great grandpa if there should be blacks and Mexicans in the same schools as whites. What about abortion? Clearly, grandma and grandpa hater should not be the ones we tap for these tough policies. It's is totally ridiculous how the county has empowered these jerks. It's not healthy for our communities and it's not healthy for these old folks. Let them rest.

1000 feet is stupid. The county has stated that this would eliminate all farms. That's why hateful grandma wants it to be 1000 feet.

Smell is stupid. Why does hateful grandma's farm get to smell like manure all the time and there are zero setbacks? Trying to appease intolerant OLD LIARS and fat girls who ride horses is stupid. It's about time the county takes responsibility for what it has done to harm the local cannabis farmers by empowering the intolerant 50 jerks.

God Bless all the cannabis farmers and local businesses that are being damaged by these BAD PEOPLE.

To all you bully grandmas and LIAR old folks out there, please don't email me direct. You have been told your whole lived that everyone should really value your opinions but I don't value your opinions at all. I think they are worthless. So you can either spew your lies and intolerance in the PUBLIC forum like everyone else or you can shove it where your dark sun doesn't shine OK? If you send me direct emails I will add your email to every spam list I can find online and then I will give it to Russian hackers so you show up on Hunter Biden's laptop next to the pictures of his beautiful (not hookers) girlfriends doing crack with him while they sell political influence. Sound good Gram?

The cannabis farmers and operators are SICK of being pushed around, bullied, and discriminated against. Don't act surprised that we have to start fighting back and telling it STRAIGHT. Don't cry to me that your feelings are hurt.

Don't play the (EXTREMELY PLAYED-OUT) victim role w me. Shove it!

We have had ENOUGH.

Have a beautiful day everyone,  
Disgusted Citizen

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

**From:** [Hank Ford](#)  
**To:** [Cannabis](#)  
**Subject:** CHAPTER 38  
**Date:** Saturday, February 19, 2022 12:40:52 AM

---



CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!

CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!

CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!

CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!

CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!

CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!

CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!

CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!



CHAPTER 38

CHAPTER 38 was approved by planning Dept!

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

**Warning:** If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,  
**do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.